
Bernard Sadoulet

Dept. of Physics /LBNL UC Berkeley
UC Institute for Nuclear and Particle
Astrophysics and Cosmology (INPAC)

Conclusions

Progress

Community?S1

Starting to break the Chicken and Egg problem

Still some work in Earth Science and Engineering

The pre-selection of two sites enables us to be concrete

Overall, healthy reaction of the community

Time line begins to emerge

What do we call a prime facility, multidisciplinary, acting as a focus nationally and a magnet internationally

NSF

S2 pre-selection clearly a step forward

Although some reservations of the process, useful dialogue

Potential Failure Modes

In fighting in the community

Outcome of the S2 pre selection

Split of the community between the two sites

Inability to articulate the need for DUSEL

SNOLab: still missing demand

Acting as a focus nationally
magnet internationally

Competition with other projects

Articulate relationship/complementarity with ILC

Large detector/ long base line

Complementarity to other initiatives

An unaffordable project

Irresponsible speech about cost without time line

Operation costs, escalation etc...

Bold vision: Overloading the project initially

Glossy Document

Input from working groups Aug 15

First draft Sept 28

Circulated to community

specific charge of working groups and site consultation
group to comment

Final draft Dec 8

External review Dec-Jan

Production Dec-

Workshop in Washington early 06? Late January

Agencies (broad)

NSF directorates +EHR

Pictures

Side bars

Working Groups

Update vision statement Aug 15th

< 1 page, if necessary

See what is written

Technical data August 30

Demand, size of the community

Infrastructure matrices and compatibility

First draft of full document September 28

Pictures

Side bars

Post S1

Keep the community together

Common enterprise

Keep the dream alive

We should not split the community between the two sites

although e.g. earth sciences/biology/engineering is somewhat site dependent

Retain the competences accumulated in S2, and put at service of the common enterprise

Cooperation between the two sites where appropriate

Help the sites: What do they need?

S2 PIs+S1 PIs call for LOI ?

common standard scenarios

workshops (separated vs common)

We have all a stake and a shared responsibility:

Build a consensus/reassurance about technical/financial analysis: How to do that? Openness without compromising proprietary information

Common framework?

Post S1

DUSEL preparation

Encouraging synergies: Specialized workshops
Seed grant e.g. earth science and engineering
Supplementary to support early biology/earth sciences

Advocacy in community who could be involved

Strong motion / solid earth
Other agencies
Initiative coordination group

International aspects

Stimulate interest in other countries
LOI
Cooperation with SNOLab